SPECIAL MEETING OF THE OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

APPEALS

Friday, January 5, 2024
10:00 a.m.
Commission En Banc Courtroom
1915 N. Stiles Ave.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
www.wcc.ok.gov

AGENDA

This meeting will include a videoconference location in compliance with 25 O.S. § 307.1:

115 McElroy Hall, CVM Alumni Conference Room 208 S. McFarland, Stillwater, OK 74078 (405)-744-6651

Commissioner Scott Biggs will be physically present and participate in the meeting from the videoconference location. Chairman Russell and Commissioner Tilly will be physically present and participate in the meeting from the Oklahoma City location.

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN

THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION

A. MINUTES:

• The drafted Minutes of the Special Appeals Meeting of December 6, 2023 will be considered for approval.

B. Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Order in the Following Cases:

1. Allan Hare v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-04761J, James Crittenden Jr. v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07602A, and James Randy Westlake v. MITF, File #CM3F-2022-01067X

The Commission is considering the adoption of the following order in the above referenced cases:

This matter comes before the Commission on its *sua sponte* inquiry to determine the need for a stay of appellate proceedings. The instant case involves the same jurisdictional issue raised by the Multiple Injury Trust Fund in *Stricklen v. Multiple Injury Trust Fund*, No. 120,753, which is pending certiorari review by the Oklahoma Supreme Court. In the interest of judicial economy, the Commission finds a stay of appellate proceedings is necessary. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Petitioner's appeal is hereby **STAYED** pending final resolution in *Stricklen* or until further order of the Commission.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. James Crittenden Jr. v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07602A

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. McCaffrey & Associates are the attorneys of record for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on November 18, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. James Randy Westlake v. MITF, File #CM3F-2022-01067X

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis R. Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on March 17, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. Jerry Green v. MITF, File #CM3F-2015-07692A

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Susan Jones appeared for the Claimant and Jordan S. Ensley appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on April 21, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to affirm the Administrative Law Judge's order and issue a supplemental order at a future date.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

5. <u>Virgil Breeze v. Premium Transportation Group Inc. and XL Insurance America</u> Inc., File #CM3-2021-06787E

Both parties filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Daniel J. Talbot appeared for the Claimant and R. Jay McAtee appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on April 21, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

6. Fernando Colunga v. MITF, File #CM3F-2015-01300K

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Michael R. Green appeared for the Claimant and Jordan S. Ensley appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 19, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. Travis Oakes v. City of Stillwater, File #CM3-2022-07536Y

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Daniel J. Talbot appeared for the Claimant and John D. Valentine appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on July 21, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to reverse the denial of Respondent's motion to terminate TTD.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

8. <u>James Brock v. Starlight Express LLC and Compsource Mutual Ins. Co. (FKA COMPSOURCE OKLAHOMA), File #CM3-2021-04119R</u>

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Susan H. Jones appeared for the Claimant and Travis R. Colt appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on September 22, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Chairman Russell moved to preliminary action to reverse the order sustaining Respondent's request for dismissal of certain body parts and authorize the Commission's appellate counsel or other staff member to draft a proposed order findings and facts and conclusion of law to be considered for continued action at a future meeting.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

9. <u>Jimmy Mills v. Gary A. Crain Inc. and Imperium Insurance Co., File #CM3-2020-00795Q</u>

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Curtin. Daniel J. Talbot appeared for the Claimant and R. Jay McAtee appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on September 22, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Chairman Russell moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

10. Richard Carmichael v. G.T. Bynum Co. LLC and Old Glory Insurance Co., File #CM3-2022-00963T

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Bret Untershuetz appeared for the Claimant and David Custar appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on September 22, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to reverse the denial of TTD, remand the case back to the ALJ, and issue an order at a later date.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

11. <u>Kevin Power v. Flow Testing Inc. and Compsource Mutual Ins. Co. (FKA COMPSOURCE OKLAHOMA)</u>, File #CM3-2020-02016R

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. J. Kord Hammert appeared the Claimant and David J. Frette appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on September 22, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

12. <u>Prince Felder III v. Anheuser-Busch LLC and Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America</u> (INA INS) (CT GEN), File #CM3-2021-06399R

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Laurie D. Judy is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on September 22, 2023. Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to vacate the denial and remand back to the ALJ for the submission of further evidence as requested by the CIME in his report.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

13. Rachel Fritz v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2019-06216R

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Anthony Blair appeared for the Claimant and Jordan S. Ensley appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on December 1, 2023. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Tilly moved to take preliminary action to reverse the Administrative Law Judge's order on the TTD issue.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly